Ciencia Latina Revista Científica Multidisciplinar, Ciudad de México, México.
ISSN 2707-2207 / ISSN 2707-2215 (en línea), enero-febrero 2026,
Volumen 10, Número 1.

https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v10i1

THE VALIDITY GAP AND PREMATURE AUTONOMY: REFRAMING PEDIATRIC ORAL HEALTH IN LATIN AMERICA

LA BRECHA DE VALIDEZ Y LA AUTONOMÍA PREMATURA: REPLANTEANDO LA SALUD BUCAL PEDIÁTRICA EN AMÉRICA LATINA

Juana Aurelia Sarmiento Porras
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca – México

Homero Caballero Sánchez
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca – México

Alicia Hernández Antonio
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca – México

César Zárate-Ortiz
Universidad Autónoma "Benito Juárez" de Oaxaca– México

Luis Alberto Martínez Hernández
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca - México

Mercedes Rosas Hernández
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca - México

Patricia Ramírez Cortez
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca - México

Taurino Amilcar Sosa-Velasco
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca - México

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v10i1.22147

The Validity Gap and Premature Autonomy: Reframing Pediatric Oral Health in Latin America

Juana Aurelia Sarmiento Porras[1]
juanaaureliasarmientoporras@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0001-5166-5390
Facultad de Medicina y Cirugía
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca
Oaxaca México

Homero Caballero Sánchez
homerosalud@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8529-0224
Facultad de Odontología
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca
Oaxaca México

Alicia Hernández Antonio
cd.alisha@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5198-6023
Facultad de Odontología
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca
Oaxaca, México

César Zárate Ortiz
cesareoivo02@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0004-0957-469X
Laboratorio de Bioquímica de Proteínas y Glicopatologías, Facultad de Odontología
Universidad Autónoma "Benito Juárez" de Oaxaca
Oaxaca, México

Luis Alberto Martínez Hernández
Luisinhood1@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0234-928X
Facultad de Enfermería y Obstetricia
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca
Oaxaca, México

Mercedes Rosas Hernández
mercedesrosas0798@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0005-7441-0883
Facultad de Enfermería y Obstetricia
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca
Oaxaca, México

Patricia Ramírez Cortez
pattyrc@yahoo.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2413-3999
Facultad de Enfermería y Obstetricia
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca
Oaxaca, México

Taurino Amilcar Sosa Velasco
asosa.faeo@uabjo.mx
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0852-9748
Facultad de Odontología
Universidad Autónoma Benito Juárez de Oaxaca
Oaxaca, México

[1] Autor principal
Correspondencia: asosa.faeo@uabjo.mx

ABSTRACT

Background: Early Childhood Caries (ECC) remains a persistent public health problem in Latin America, affecting a majority of preschool children despite high levels of parental awareness regarding oral hygiene. This paradox highlights limitations of traditional prevention strategies that rely primarily on information delivery. **Problem Analysis:** This perspective synthesizes evidence from pediatric dentistry, behavioral science, and preventive medicine to identify two underrecognized barriers to effective ECC prevention. First, the "Validity Gap" refers to the discrepancy between caregiver-reported oral hygiene practices and objectively observed behaviors, largely driven by social desirability bias and misinterpretation of technical competence. Second, "Premature Autonomy" describes the widespread developmental misconception that young children possess the fine motor skills required for effective biofilm disruption, leading to early withdrawal of parental assistance. **Conceptual Proposal:** Reframing pediatric oral health as a core component of puericulture, we argue for a shift from passive advice toward active coaching and objective verification within primary pediatric care. Drawing on existing evidence, we outline a conceptual framework that emphasizes visual assessment tools (e.g., plaque disclosing agents), early clinical surveillance ("lift-the-lip" examinations), assisted brushing aligned with neurodevelopmental readiness (the "7-Year Rule"), and simulation-based caregiver education. **Conclusion:** Addressing ECC requires moving beyond knowledge transmission to focus on behavioral execution and developmental capacity. Integrating oral health into routine pediatric surveillance and adopting coaching-based preventive strategies may help bridge the translational gap between parental intent and effective home practice, positioning the oral cavity as a meaningful indicator of systemic child health.

Keywords: puericulture, early childhood caries, pediatric oral health, health literacy, preventive medicine

La brecha de validez y la autonomía prematura: replanteando la salud bucal pediátrica en América Latina

RESUMEN

Antecedentes: La caries de la primera infancia (CPI) continúa siendo un problema persistente de salud pública en América Latina, afectando a la mayoría de los niños en edad preescolar a pesar de los altos niveles de conocimiento parental sobre higiene bucal. Esta paradoja pone en evidencia las limitaciones de las estrategias preventivas tradicionales que se basan principalmente en la transmisión de información. Análisis del problema: Esta perspectiva sintetiza evidencia proveniente de la odontología pediátrica, las ciencias del comportamiento y la medicina preventiva para identificar dos barreras poco reconocidas en la prevención efectiva de la CPI. En primer lugar, la "brecha de validez" se refiere a la discrepancia entre las prácticas de higiene bucal reportadas por los cuidadores y los comportamientos observados de manera objetiva, impulsada en gran medida por el sesgo de deseabilidad social y la interpretación errónea de la competencia técnica. En segundo lugar, la "autonomía prematura" describe la concepción errónea, ampliamente difundida, de que los niños pequeños poseen las habilidades motoras finas necesarias para una adecuada disrupción del biofilm, lo que conduce a la retirada temprana de la asistencia parental. Propuesta conceptual: Al replantear la salud bucal pediátrica como un componente central de la puericultura, se propone un cambio desde el consejo pasivo hacia el acompañamiento activo y la verificación objetiva dentro de la atención pediátrica primaria. A partir de la evidencia existente, se plantea un marco conceptual que enfatiza el uso de herramientas de evaluación visual (por ejemplo, agentes reveladores de placa), la vigilancia clínica temprana (exámenes de "levantar el labio"), el cepillado asistido alineado con la madurez del neurodesarrollo (la "regla de los 7 años") y la educación de cuidadores basada en simulación. Conclusión: Abordar la CPI requiere ir más allá de la transmisión de conocimientos para centrarse en la ejecución conductual y la capacidad del desarrollo. La integración de la salud bucal en la vigilancia pediátrica rutinaria y la adopción de estrategias preventivas basadas en el acompañamiento activo pueden ayudar a cerrar la brecha traslacional entre la intención parental y la práctica efectiva en el hogar, posicionando la cavidad oral como un indicador significativo de la salud sistémica infantil.

Palabras clave: puericultura, caries de la primera infancia, salud bucal pediátrica, alfabetización en salud, medicina preventiva

Artículo recibido 10 diciembre 2025
Aceptado para publicación: 10 enero 2026

INTRODUCTION

The Mouth as the Mirror of the Child

The oral cavity functions as a sensitive biological barometer for the pediatric patient, serving as much more than a portal for alimentation; it is a sentinel for systemic well-being (1). Far from being an isolated anatomical entity, the mouth often provides the earliest clinical evidence of underlying pathologies, where mucosal alterations, gingival inflammation, and periodontal changes act as visible indicators of internal physiological states (2, 3). The oral environment offers a unique diagnostic window by signaling nutritional deficiencies, hematological disorders, and autoimmune conditions such as Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, in which microvascular damage and inflammatory responses are pervasive (4-6). By observing these manifestations, clinicians can access a reflection of the child's overall inflammatory burden and immune competence, potentially facilitating earlier intervention in complex systemic diseases.

This integrated biological perspective aligns seamlessly with the foundational goals of pediatric care. Puericulture, in its truest definition, is the scientific cultivation of the child (7). It is the branch of preventive medicine that transcends the mere treatment of acute illness, focusing instead on the optimal physiological and psychological development of the pediatric patient. By definition, this discipline requires a comprehensive stewardship of health, presupposing that the monitoring of growth and development must encompass every physiological system without exclusion (8). However, a profound paradox persists between this established biological interconnectivity and the prevailing operational structure of pediatric healthcare (9). Although the child's physiology functions as a unified whole, medical management is frequently bifurcated at the oral cavity, effectively treating the mouth as an entity separate from the systemic circulation it directly influences (10). This clinical fragmentation overlooks the critical role of oral biomarkers and local inflammation in the progression of systemic disease, necessitating a critical examination of the historical and structural factors that have entrenched this divided approach.

DEVELOPMENT

The Current Landscape: Puericulture and the Oral-Systemic Divorce

Puericulture is the branch of preventive medicine that transcends the mere treatment of acute illness, focusing instead on the optimal physiological and psychological development of the pediatric patient (11). As clinicians and public health specialists, we have long accepted that the child is an integrated biological system, yet in practice, we frequently observe a surgical separation of the mouth from the body (12). For decades, the oral cavity has been relegated to the periphery of pediatric care, viewed as a separate domain managed exclusively by dentists, rather than an integral component of general health. This segregation is medically and epidemiologically untenable, particularly in the context of Mexico and Latin America (13). Overcoming such fragmentation is a critical step toward bridging the translational gaps that currently impede the transformation of the region's biomedical landscape (14). The mouth is not merely a mechanical gateway for nutrition; it is an immunological interface and a mirror of systemic health (15). Oral diseases, specifically Early Childhood Caries (ECC) and gingivitis, do not exist in a vacuum (16). They share critical, modifiable risk factors with the very non-communicable diseases (NCDs) that currently overwhelm our public health systems: obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease (17). The common denominator is the cariogenic environment, a state characterized by the frequent consumption of free sugars and poor hygiene that drives both metabolic dysregulation and oral biofilm dysbiosis (18). Current evaluations of body mass index in Mexican adolescents further emphasize the critical need for precise surveillance tools to identify these compounding chronic health risks early (19). In a region where childhood obesity rates are among the highest in the world, the pediatrician who ignores the mouth ignores a fundamental warning sign of the child's overall metabolic trajectory (20). Therefore, effective puericulture must reclaim the oral cavity as a fundamental component of pediatric care. It is imperative to recognize that the "First 1000 Days ", the critical developmental window from conception to the second birthday, is just as essential for the establishment of the oral microbiome as it is for neurological and immunological maturation. If we fail to integrate oral hygiene coaching into the standard well-child visit, we are failing in our primary duty of prevention.

Epidemiology: The Silent Epidemic of Awareness without Action

Despite the global advancement of medical science, ECC remains a pervasive and stubborn public health challenge. It is a global health problem affecting nearly 50% of the population, with prevalence varying widely across continents (21). In developing nations and specific regions of Latin America, these numbers can be significantly higher, exacerbated by socioeconomic disparities and dietary transitions toward processed, sugar-dense foods (22).

The paradox of this epidemic lies in the high level of parental "awareness" (23). Public health campaigns have succeeded in teaching parents that brushing is important (24). However, this superficial awareness has not translated into disease reduction. Prevalence rates remain high, and dental caries continues to be the single most common chronic disease of childhood, five times more common than asthma (25). This suggests that the prevailing strategy of information dissemination, characterized by passive education through pamphlets and brief verbal reminders, has reached a saturation point of diminishing returns. Consequently, we are facing a crisis defined not by a lack of knowledge, but by a failure of execution.

The Behavioral Disconnect: Knowledge Does Not Equal Behavior

The central thesis of this manuscript is that the persistence of oral disease is driven by a profound "Knowledge-Behavior Gap". We must dismantle the assumption that a parent who knows brushing is important possesses the skill or efficacy to perform it correctly. Recent evidence from the Global South provides a stark illustration of this disconnect. A cross-sectional survey conducted in India, a context sharing many socioeconomic parallels with Mexico, revealed that 100% of primary caregivers agreed that maintaining good oral hygiene in their children was important (26). Yet, this universal agreement collapsed when examining actual practice. The same study revealed that a staggering 73% of these parents had never received any information or education pertaining to the maintenance of oral hygiene (26). They knew why to brush, but nobody had ever taught them how.

This lack of technical training leads to dangerous adaptations. It was reported that 59% of preschool children were brushing their teeth independently, engaging in this activity without adult supervision (27). In the context of puericulture, this is equivalent to allowing a preschooler to administer their own insulin or antibiotics. A child under the age of six lacks the manual dexterity (visual-motor integration) required to disrupt the biofilm effectively (28). This "premature autonomy" is a direct failure of the

medical home to provide anticipatory guidance, leaving the child vulnerable to disease despite the parents' good intentions.

Furthermore, we must address the "Validity Gap" in our clinical history-taking. As clinicians, we rely heavily on parental reporting, but evidence suggests this data is often flawed. Video observation protocols were employed in Malaysia to critically assess the concordance between parent-reported behaviors and actual practices (29). The discrepancies were profound. While 35% of parents reported using the recommended pea-sized amount of toothpaste, video evidence revealed that only 28% actually did so (27). Conversely, parents over-reported the use of fluoridated toothpaste compared to what was observed.

This phenomenon is attributed to social desirability bias, the tendency of parents to report what they believe the doctor wants to hear (30). In the specific case of Khan's study, parents claimed high adherence to hygiene standards, yet 100% of the children presented with "poor" pre-brushing plaque scores (27). This confirms a critical reality for the Mexican pediatrician: the anamnesis is insufficient. When a parent says, "Yes, we brush twice a day," they may honestly believe they are performing the task correctly, while in reality, the technique, duration, or supervision is entirely inadequate. The study by Khan et al. demonstrated that specific behaviors such as toothbrushing technique, duration, and parental guidance explained 86% of the variance in plaque score changes (27). This finding confirms that the efficacy of the practice relies far more on the quality of execution than on the simple presence of the habit. Recognizing that mechanical competence rather than lack of knowledge is the primary barrier to oral health, it becomes evident that the traditional model of verbal instruction is insufficient to ensure effective home care. To bridge this translational gap, pediatric practice must undergo a fundamental paradigm shift.

Thesis Statement: From Passive Advice to Active Coaching

If knowledge is not the bottleneck, then more information is not the solution (31). To alter the trajectory of oral health in Latin America, we must shift our paradigm from "passive advice" to "active coaching." This manuscript argues that modern puericulture must adopt the methods of behavioral science and simulation education. We cannot simply tell parents to "brush better"; we must simulate the behavior, correct the technique in real-time, and utilize modern tools to reinforce habits. As demonstrated by Chen

J. et al. (2025), interventions that move beyond conventional lectures to include "simulation education" (role-playing, gamification) yield significantly better outcomes, reducing adverse oral behaviors and increasing the use of fluoride toothpaste to over 90% (32).

In the following sections, we will outline a practical, evidence-based protocol for the primary care physician. We will dissect the mechanics of effective hygiene, challenge the myths of the "independent brusher," and propose a new model of "digital puericulture" suitable for the smartphone era. The goal is to empower the pediatrician to act not just as a prescriber of medicine, but as a coach of preventive habit, ensuring that the mouth remains a healthy mirror of the child's future well-being.

This article is a perspective grounded in the synthesis of existing empirical and conceptual evidence rather than a formal clinical guideline, with the aim of reframing pediatric oral health within the preventive framework of puericulture.

The Validity Gap: Why We Cannot Trust the Anamnesis Alone

In the routine practice of pediatric preventive medicine, the anamnesis serves as the cornerstone of diagnosis, representing the clinical history derived directly from interviewing the parent or caregiver (33). We rely on the parent's narrative to gauge nutrition, sleep patterns, and developmental milestones. However, when it comes to oral hygiene, this reliance on subjective reporting introduces a critical "Validity Gap." The anamnesis is inherently filtered through the parent's perception, memory, and, crucially, their desire to be viewed as a "good parent" by the clinician (34). This phenomenon, often termed social desirability bias, renders standard questions like "Do you brush your child's teeth?" or "Do you use fluoride?" diagnostically fragile. The fundamental difficulty arises because toothbrushing functions as a complex motor skill rather than a binary event. Although a parent may honestly report brushing twice daily, the actual efficacy regarding the physical removal of biofilm often remains negligible (35). Without objective verification, the pediatrician is navigating blindly, assuming protection where none exists. This disconnect between reported effort and clinical reality is a primary reason why disease rates remain high despite high reported compliance.

To understand the magnitude of the gap between clinical history and home reality, the study by Khan et al. serves as a pivotal reference point for challenging the reliability of parental reporting (27). Through a rigorous cross-sectional analysis of 92 preschool children, the researchers utilized video-based

behavioral observation to contrast parental questionnaire responses with objective practice. The results revealed alarming statistical discrepancies across all metrics. Regarding toothpaste quantity, video evidence confirmed that only 28% of participants actually used the recommended pea-sized amount despite 35% of parents reporting compliance. A similar disconnect was evident in product selection, where 74% of parents claimed to use appropriate fluoridated toothpaste, yet only 50% were observed doing so. Perhaps most significantly, while over half of the parents claimed to guide their children occasionally, actual video analysis demonstrated that only 11% provided verbal or practical involvement, with the vast majority standing by passively while the child brushed ineffectively. Interestingly, the validity gap does not always lean toward over-reporting positive behaviors; sometimes, observation alters behavior in the opposite direction. Khan et al. noted that while 40% of parents reported a brushing duration of 30 seconds to 1 minute, the video observation showed that 51% of children actually brushed for 1 to 2 minutes. At first glance, this seems like a positive finding—children brushing longer than expected **(Table 1)**. However, the authors speculate this was likely a manifestation of the "Hawthorne Effect," where subjects modify their behavior in response to being observed (36). The children had been applied with a plaque-disclosing dye prior to brushing, and their extended duration was likely an attempt to visually remove the stain they could see in the mirror. This underscores that even "observed" behavior in a clinical setting may be an idealized performance rather than a reflection of the daily routine at home. This discrepancy between performative compliance and domestic reality necessitates a critical reexamination of preventive strategies within the Mexican healthcare system.

For the Mexican pediatrician and public health specialist, these findings are a call to update our diagnostic protocols (37). We operate within a cultural context comparable to the populations studied in which deference to medical authority frequently compels parents to provide the expected answer rather than a truthful account of actual practices (38). Simply asking "Do you brush?" acts as a binary checkpoint that fails to capture the nuance of the habit. It provides a false sense of security. When a parent reports compliance with toothbrushing, we must operate under the evidentiary assumption that they are likely overestimating their level of supervision, misjudging the quantity of fluoride applied, and underestimating the manual dexterity necessary for effective plaque removal (39). The "Validity Gap"

means that a verbal confirmation of hygiene is not a confirmation of health. Consequently, to ensure diagnostic accuracy, the clinical encounter must evolve from a passive interview into an active, objective verification of skill. To effectively bridge the translational gap between parental reporting and clinical reality, pediatric practice must transition from subjective interrogation to objective auditing. The most potent instrument for this paradigm shift is the utilization of Plaque Disclosing Agents (40). The application of these agents serves a dual function, primarily establishing diagnostic truth by instantly exposing the validity gap; a child who purportedly brushes daily yet exhibits significant biofilm staining provides irrefutable evidence of ineffective technique. Khan et al. validated this approach by recording pre- and post-brushing plaque scores to quantify the precise variance between effort and outcome (27). Secondarily, this mechanism induces a necessary educational shock that shatters the illusion of competence. As observed in the Khan study, the immediate visual feedback provided by the dye significantly motivated subjects to extend brushing duration in an attempt to remove the stain. This process transforms the consultation from a passive lecture into an active, visual audit of mechanical efficacy (27). Ultimately, while the anamnesis remains a necessary starting point, the evidence confirms it is an insufficient diagnostic endpoint. The Validity Gap demonstrates that parental intent does not equal mechanical competence, rendering the traditional verbal interview diagnostically fragile. To truly protect the pediatric patient, the clinician must transcend the role of a passive interviewer and adopt the tools of an active auditor. By implementing visual verification through plaque disclosing agents, we replace subjective narrative with objective reality, ensuring that the "Mirror of the Child" reflects not merely a hopeful history, but a validated picture of physiological health. However, the visual audit identifies the presence of the deficit, but not the cause. To understand why widely accepted hygiene routines fail so consistently, we must deconstruct the developmental misconceptions that drive them. The data suggests that the greatest threat to the pediatric oral cavity is often the premature abdication of parental responsibility, a phenomenon driven by a fundamental misunderstanding of physiological readiness.

The Myth of Independence: Premature Autonomy in Preschoolers

A pervasive misconception in pediatric care is the belief that possession of a toothbrush equates to effective hygiene (41). This assumption overlooks the biological constraints of neuromuscular

development. The fine motor skills required to manipulate a toothbrush effectively, particularly the dexterity needed for the rotatory or modified Bass technique to disrupt biofilm at the gingival margin, do not fully mature until a child reaches approximately eight years of age (42). This developmental milestone roughly coincides with the complex coordination required to tie shoelaces or write in cursive. Consequently, prior to achieving this level of manual dexterity, a child's brushing is often limited to superficial scrubbing motions on accessible occlusal surfaces (43). This mechanical limitation leaves the cervical margins and interproximal areas, the primary sites of ECC, completely untouched.

Therefore, the reliance on independent brushing in this demographic constitutes a fundamental error where the complexity of the task exceeds the developmental capacity of the performer. Despite the physiological necessity for adult intervention, the data indicates that supervision is frequently withdrawn long before manual competence is achieved (44). It is crucial to understand that this accelerated transition to independence is rarely an act of indifference. This phenomenon of premature autonomy is not necessarily born of neglect, but often of necessity and socioeconomic pressure (45). In the Latin American context, the "working parent" dynamic plays a significant role. The authors identify "working mothers" and "large families" as plausible reasons for the inadequacy of parental supervision (46). Parents often report an inability to provide individual attention due to time constraints, leading to a delegation of hygiene duties to the child or older siblings who may lack the necessary motivation or skill. This survival mode parenting relegates oral hygiene to the bottom of the priority list, effectively transitioning the practice from a supervised health routine to a solitary chore performed by the child. The consequences of this passive approach are not merely anecdotal but objectively quantifiable. The study by Khan et al. provides robust statistical confirmation of this detachment, revealing through video observation that 46% of parents were totally uninvolved during their child's toothbrushing session (27). In other words, the presence of a guiding parent was not merely an ancillary benefit but the definitive determinant of whether biofilm was removed or retained. The data confirms that children who brush under active verbal and hands-on supervision achieve significantly superior oral health status compared to their unsupervised peers, proving that the biological barrier of motor unreadiness can only be overcome through external adult regulation. This pervasive pattern of parental detachment is particularly concerning when evaluated in light of the study's structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM), which

revealed a powerful counter-narrative regarding efficacy. The analysis demonstrated that parental guidance served as the single most significant variable affecting plaque control, explaining 86% of the variance (R^2 = 0.86$) in the children's plaque score change. In other words, the presence of a guiding parent functioned as the definitive determinant of whether the biofilm was removed or retained (27). Complementing these findings, Zhang et al. (2020) utilized structural equation modelling to demonstrate that the mother's own oral health behavior is a critical predictor of the child's practice (β = 0.60). This creates a cascading effect where the parent's attitude and socioeconomic status directly shape the pediatric environment, confirming that the child's oral health cannot be treated in isolation from the parental unit. Therefore, the recommendation for "supervision" must be radically redefined for the pediatric consult (47). It is not sufficient for a parent to watch from the doorway or simply remind the child to brush. "Active Supervision" must be interpreted as "Assisted Hygiene." This involves the parent physically performing the brushing or finishing the job after the child has "practiced." The data supports a hierarchy of involvement: parents who used a verbal and hands-on approach to assist their child were scored highest in guidance and achieved better outcomes (48). We must coach parents to view themselves not as spectators, but as the primary operators of the toothbrush until the child demonstrates true manual competence.

To support this hands-on mandate, we must evaluate the physical tools at their disposal. If the parent is the operator, the toothbrush is the instrument, and its design can either compound the difficulty of the task or simplify the mechanics of plaque removal.

The Toothbrush: Manual vs. Electric

The selection of the hygiene instrument can partially mitigate the deficits in manual dexterity inherent to this age group. While the vast majority of children in developing regions utilize manual toothbrushes—67.3% in the Khan et al. study—the evidence suggests that technology offers a distinct clinical advantage (27). Children utilizing electric toothbrushes exhibited superior oral health status, specifically regarding plaque score reduction, gingival index, and dental caries status, compared to those using manual counterparts (49). The mechanism for this advantage lies in the fact that electric toothbrushes are less technique-sensitive, requiring significantly less manual dexterity to achieve effective biofilm disruption (50). For a child with developing motor skills, or a parent struggling to brush

a resisting toddler's teeth, the electric toothbrush provides a compensatory efficacy (51). However, if a manual brush remains the only option, the grip employed by the caregiver becomes critical. Khan et al. identified that the "distal oblique grip" resulted in superior plaque removal compared to other grip types. This specific hold allows the parent to manipulate the brush head with greater control, facilitating access to posterior surfaces that are frequently missed by a standard power grip (52). No element of oral hygiene is more critical, or more misunderstood, than the toothpaste itself (53). It acts as the topical vaccine against caries, primarily through the chemotherapeutic action of fluoride (54). The concentration of this agent is non-negotiable; Khan et al. demonstrated that using higher fluoride-containing toothpaste (>1000 ppm) had a significant positive effect on dental caries status and plaque score change, whereas lower concentrations often fail to provide the therapeutic remineralization required to arrest early lesions (27). Furthermore, a persistent validity gap exists regarding quantity. While 35% of parents reported using the recommended pea-sized amount, only 28% were observed doing so, with many using insufficient amounts that limit therapeutic coverage. Finally, the most common error in home hygiene remains the immediate water rinse (55). The study found that minimal post-brushing mouth rinsing contributed significantly more to plaque reduction than multiple rinses (27). Current recommendations emphasize that the water rinse essentially washes away the therapeutic agent before it has time to act; therefore, the "Spit, Don't Rinse" rule is essential to retain the fluoride effect in the oral cavity. Finally, we must address the mechanics of brushing. The horizontal or scrubbing technique is the most instinctive for children and parents alike; Khan et al. observed that it was the preferred technique for 41.3% of participants, explicably due to the lack of manual dexterity in this age group (27). While dental professionals often preach the Modified Bass or rotatory techniques, these can be difficult for parents to master on a moving child. Consequently, the data suggests that the systematic nature of the cleaning is more vital than the specific stroke. Khan et al. found that a systematic toothbrushing sequence defined by cleaning the arches in a predictable order contributed more to oral health than a non-systematic approach. Children observed brushing in a non-systematic manner had poorer oral health, manifesting specifically as localized gingivitis due to consistently missed areas. For the pediatrician, the advice to parents should be distilled into three non-negotiable pillars of technical precision: 1) High Fluoride: Ensure the toothpaste contains at least 1000 ppm fluoride. 2) No Rinse: Teach the child to spit the foam

but never rinse with water immediately after. 3) Systematic Guidance: If using a manual brush, the parent must use a distal oblique grip and follow a strict path around the mouth to ensure no surface is neglected. The evidentiary basis for these protocols and the magnitude of the disparity between reported and actual behavior are detailed below **(Table 1)**.

Table 1: The Anatomy of Failure – Comparing Parental Perception, Observed Reality, and Clinical Impact

Parameter	Reported Behavior (Parental Claim)	Observed Reality (Video Evidence)	Clinical Implication & Recommendation
		I. BEHAVIORAL VALIDITY	
Parental Supervision	52% claimed "Occasional Guidance"	**11%** showed active involvement; **46%** were totally uninvolved.	**Critical Deficit:** Verbal/Hands-on supervision is the #1 predictor of plaque removal ($R^2=0.86$).
Toothpaste Amount	35% claimed "Pea-sized"	**28%** actually used "Pea-sized".	**Safety:** Risk of fluorosis (excess) or insufficient active agent (deficit).
Fluoride Content	74% claimed usage of specific pediatric pastes (<1000 ppm)	**50%** matched the report; significant confusion on labeling.	**Efficacy:** Pastes with **F > 1000 ppm** are required to significantly improve caries status.
Brushing Duration	40% claimed 30s – 1 min	**51%** brushed 1 – 2 mins (Longer than reported).	**Hawthorne Effect:** Behavior improves under observation; home duration is likely much shorter.
		II. TECHNICAL PROFICIENCY	
Instrument Type	N/A	**67.3%** used Manual brushes.	**Tooling:** Electric brushes reduce technique sensitivity and improve gingival indices.
Manual Grip Style	N/A	Varied usage of Power vs. Precision grips.	**Technique:** The **Distal Oblique Grip** is superior for posterior plaque removal.
Rinsing Habit	N/A	Frequent immediate water rinsing observed.	**Retention:** Immediate rinsing washes away fluoride. Adopt **"Spit, Don't Rinse"** protocol.
Motion Sequence	N/A	41.3% used scrubbing; Random sequencing common.	**Coverage: Systematic sequencing** (e.g., quadrant-by-quadrant) prevents localized gingivitis.

Data derived from Khan et al. (2021) regarding discrepancies in pediatric oral hygiene practices (27).

However, the definition of these technical parameters serves little purpose if the mechanism of knowledge transfer remains archaic. The persistence of the validity gap suggests that the error lies not only in parental execution but in the pedagogical approach of the medical provider. Having established what needs to be done, we must now critically evaluate how we have historically attempted to teach it.

The Failure of Traditional Education

For decades, the standard of care in pediatric oral health education has relied upon passive dissemination (56). Practitioners frequently distribute pamphlets at the conclusion of a vaccination visit or deliver a brief verbal lecture to a distracted parent (57). Although well-intentioned, this traditional model characterized by generic school lectures or rudimentary clinical instructions has proven insufficient to alter behavior. The retention rate of such passive information is low, and the translation into daily habit is even lower (58). In a region like Latin America, where health literacy varies significantly, we need a pedagogical shift that moves from "telling" to "experiencing" (59).

To operationalize this pedagogical shift, the pediatric consultation must evolve from a bureaucratic checkpoint into a diagnostic intervention. We propose a restructured clinical workflow that prioritizes objective verification over subjective reporting. This protocol empowers the primary care physician to dismantle the validity gap during the routine physical exam, ensuring that the "Dental Home" is established not as an elective option but as an urgent developmental milestone **(Table 2)**.

Table 2: Clinical Protocols for the Primary Care Physician

Protocol	Actionable Step	Scientific Rationale
1. Don't Just Ask, Verify	Incorporate a **Plaque Disclosing Agent** (swab or tablet) into the physical exam to visualize biofilm.	Anamnesis is diagnostically fragile due to social desirability bias; parents frequently misreport supervision levels and hygiene quality. Objective visualization reveals the "Validity Gap" between reported and actual efficacy.
2. Prescribe Fluoride	Explicitly write a prescription for **"Fluoridated Toothpaste (>1000 ppm)"** rather than recommending generic "toothpaste."	Parents often lack clarity on fluoride content. Higher fluoride concentrations (>1000 ppm) are statistically associated with significantly better plaque score changes and caries status.
3. The "Lift the Lip" Exam	Perform a quick inspection of maxillary incisors at every well-child visit starting at 6 months.	Early identification of demineralization allows for intervention before cavitation occurs, addressing the high prevalence of ECC.
4. Refer Early	Establish the "Dental Home" by **age one**.	Early preventive practices reduce the risk of ECC and the burden on the family. Waiting for pain or visible cavities is a failure of prevention.

Once the clinical assessment is complete, the focus shifts to the domestic environment where the daily battle against biofilm is waged. The complexity of the advice provided to caregivers must be reduced to

actionable non-negotiables that address the specific deficits in motor skills and supervision previously identified. Central to this guidance is the "7-Year Rule," a developmental benchmark that aligns oral hygiene supervision with the acquisition of fine motor skills such as tying shoelaces or cursive writing. The following guidelines serve as a take-home roadmap for the parent to ensure mechanical efficacy is maintained between visits.

Table 3: The "7-Year Rule" and Home Hygiene Guidelines for Parents

Guideline	The Rule	Why It Matters
1. You are the Brusher	**Active Supervision:** You must perform the brushing (preferably at night) until the child can tie their own shoelaces or write in cursive (approx. age 7).	"Premature autonomy" is a primary risk factor; 59% of preschoolers brush alone despite lacking necessary motor skills. Parental guidance is the strongest predictor of plaque removal ().
2. The Right Tools	**Quantity:** Use a "smear" (rice grain) for <3 years; "pea-sized" for 3–6 years. **Technique:** Spit, do **not** rinse with water after brushing.	Using correct amounts minimizes fluorosis risk while maximizing protection. Minimal rinsing retains the fluoride therapeutic effect on enamel.
3. Make it Fun (Gamification)	Move from scolding to "simulation." Use role-play ("you brush mine, I brush yours"), songs, or apps.	Interactive, simulation-based education significantly reduces adverse behaviors (e.g., finger biting) and increases cooperation compared to passive instruction.
4. Nighttime is Sacred	After the night brush, **nothing but water** touches the teeth. No milk, juice, or sweets.	Eliminating "bedtime sweetening behavior" is critical to preventing caries in the "First 1000 Days".

CONCLUSION

Reclaiming the Mouth as a Systemic Sentinel

The historical bifurcation of the body, which relegated the oral cavity to the periphery of pediatric medicine, is no longer biologically or epidemiologically tenable. The evidence presented in this manuscript confirms that the mouth is not merely an isolated anatomical structure but a sensitive biological barometer acting as a mirror reflecting the child's nutritional status, inflammatory burden, and developmental trajectory. To ignore the oral cavity during the well-child visit is to ignore a

fundamental component of the "First 1000 Days" considering that this period is as critical for the establishment of the oral microbiome as it is for neurological maturation.

However, recognizing the biological imperative is only the first step as we must also confront the behavioral reality. The persistence of Early Childhood Caries despite high levels of reported parental awareness exposes a profound "Validity Gap" in our traditional standard of care. We now understand that parental knowledge does not equal mechanical competence. The data confirms that "Premature Autonomy" defined as the abdication of hygiene duties to children who lack the neuro-motor maturity to execute them serves as a primary driver of disease. A child who cannot tie their shoes cannot be expected to disrupt biofilm effectively without adult intervention.

Therefore, the future of preventive pediatric care lies in the transition from passive information dissemination to active behavioral coaching. The pediatrician must evolve from a mere interviewer into a clinical auditor utilizing objective tools like plaque disclosing agents to visualize the invisible while employing simulation techniques to transform abstract advice into physical skill. By enforcing the "7-Year Rule" and establishing the Dental Home by age one, we empower the family to move from intention to execution. Ultimately, by reclaiming the mouth as a systemic sentinel, we fulfill the true promise of puericulture understood as the holistic cultivation of a child who is healthy not just in parts but as a unified whole.

Funding

This work did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Secretaría de Ciencia, Humanidades, Tecnología e Innovación (SECIHTI) for the scholarship awarded to C.Z.O. (CVU 1320438).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declared that generative AI was not used in the creation of this manuscript.

Authors Contribution Statement

Conceptualization: J.A.S.P. and T.A.S.V.; **Methodology and Data Analysis:** J.A.S.P., H.C.S., and A.H.A.; **Investigation and Resources:** H.C.S. and C.Z.O.; **Writing – Original Draft Preparation:** J.A.S.P. and T.A.S.V.; **Writing – Review & Editing:** H.C.S., A.H.A., C.Z.O. and T.A.S.V.; **Visualization:** G.F.N.T.; **Supervision:** T.A.S.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

BIBLIOGRÁPHIC REFERENCES

1. AlHarbi SG, Almushayt AS, Bamashmous S, Abujamel TS, Bamashmous NO. The oral microbiome of children in health and disease-a literature review. Front Oral Health. 2024;5:1477004.
2. Hou K, Wu Z-X, Chen X-Y, Wang J-Q, Zhang D, Xiao C, et al. Microbiota in health and diseases. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy. 2022;7(1):135.
3. Trombelli L. Gingivitis: The Past, the Present, the Future. J Periodontal Res. 2025;60(9):851–3.
4. Hernandez-Huerta MT, Perez-Campos Mayoral L, Cabrera-Fuentes HA, Perez-Campos E, Jarquin Gonzalez EE. Potential O-GlcNAcylation and odontoblast differentiation in dental pulp stem cells. Folia Histochem Cytobiol. 2025;63(3):149–50.
5. Moulton VR, Suarez-Fueyo A, Meidan E, Li H, Mizui M, Tsokos GC. Pathogenesis of Human Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: A Cellular Perspective. Trends Mol Med. 2017;23(7):615–35.
6. Rodríguez ZG, Thome SKR, Castillejos RD, García-Reyes ED, Martínez-Vargas A, Nájera-Segura NS, et al. Precision Pediatric Caries Diagnostics: Saliva-Check Mutans versus Culture for High-Density Streptococcus mutans Detection. Discoveries (Craiova). 2025;13(4):e220.
7. Greene G. In the Garden of Puériculture: Cultivating the Ideal French Infant in Real and Imagined Landscapes of Care (1895–1935). Change Over Time. 2016;6:192–214.
8. Soto IL, Vidal B, Verger L, Nagy GJ. Mapping the Trajectory of Planetary Health Education—A Critical and Constructive Perspective from the Global South. Challenges. 2025;16(4):50.
9. Maitra S, Behera HC, Bose A, Chatterjee D, Bandyopadhyay AR. From cultural dispositions to biological dimensions: a narrative review on the synergy between oral health and vitamin D through the lens of Indian habitus. Frontiers in Oral Health. 2025;Volume 6 - 2025.

10. Olate P, Martínez A, Sans-Serramitjana E, Cortés M, Díaz R, Hernández G, et al. The Infant Oral Microbiome: Developmental Dynamics, Modulating Factors, and Implications for Oral and Systemic Health. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2025;26(16):7983.
11. Boudreau A, Hamling A, Pont E, Pendergrass TW, Richerson J, Simon HK, et al. Pediatric Primary Health Care: The Central Role of Pediatricians in Maintaining Children's Health in Evolving Health Care Models. Pediatrics. 2022;149(2).
12. Han SY, Chang CL, Wang YL, Wang CS, Lee WJ, Vo TTT, et al. A Narrative Review on Advancing Pediatric Oral Health: Comprehensive Strategies for the Prevention and Management of Dental Challenges in Children. Children (Basel). 2025;12(3).
13. Cotlear D, Gomez-Dantes O, Knaul F, Atun R, Barreto I, Cueto M, et al. Overcoming social segregation in health care in Latin America. Lancet. 2014;385.
14. Perez-Campos E, Del Rio V, Cabrera-Fuentes HA. Bridging translational gaps in Mexico's new science era. Trends Mol Med. 2025;31(3):202–3.
15. Cecchin-Albertoni C, Deny O, Planat-Bénard V, Guissard C, Paupert J, Vaysse F, et al. The oral organ: A new vision of the mouth as a whole for a gerophysiological approach to healthy aging. Ageing Research Reviews. 2024;99:102360.
16. Matijević M, Badrov M, Gavić L, Tadin A. Early Childhood Oral Health: Insights into Knowledge, Preventive Practices, and Risk Awareness from a Croatian Cross-Sectional Study. Pediatr Rep. 2025;17(6).
17. Asiedu ESS, Otoo GE, Ohemeng A. Weight perception and risk of non-communicable diseases among women: A cross-sectional study in Ghana. PLOS Glob Public Health. 2025;5(8):e0004931.
18. Moussa DG, Ahmad P, Mansour TA, Siqueira WL. Current State and Challenges of the Global Outcomes of Dental Caries Research in the Meta-Omics Era. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:887907.
19. Santiago-Arango M, Pérez-Campos E, Porras-Chaparro I, Ruiz-Rosado JD, Martínez Ruiz H, Cabrera-Fuentes HA, et al. Agreement on the Prevalence of Body Mass Index (BMI) in Mexican Children and Adolescents Using Different International References. Nutrients. 2025;17(3).

20. Caballero B, Vorkoper S, Anand N, Rivera JA. Preventing childhood obesity in Latin America: an agenda for regional research and strategic partnerships. Obes Rev. 2017;18 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):3–6.

21. Zhai L, Kong J, Zhao C, Xu Y, Sang X, Zhu W, et al. Global trends and challenges in childhood caries: a 20-year bibliometric review. Transl Pediatr. 2025;14(1):139–52.

22. Carrillo-Alvarez E, Rifà-Ros R, Salinas-Roca B, Costa-Tutusaus L, Lamas M, Rodriguez-Monforte M. Diet-Related Health Inequalities in High-Income Countries: A Scoping Review of Observational Studies. Advances in Nutrition. 2025;16(6):100439.

23. Homocianu D. Global Patterns of Parental Concerns About Children's Education: Insights from WVS Data. Societies. 2025;15(2):30.

24. Kitsaras G, Boothman N, Gomez J, Goodwin M, Muzammil M, Walsh T. Exploring the potential of a school brushing program using a connected brush in underserved areas: a feasibility cluster randomised trial. BMC Oral Health. 2025;25(1):223.

25. Heng C. Tooth Decay Is the Most Prevalent Disease. Fed Pract. 2016;33(10):31–3.

26. Oberoi SS, Sharma G, Oberoi A. A cross-sectional survey to assess the effect of socioeconomic status on the oral hygiene habits. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2016;20(5):531–42.

27. Khan IM, Mani SA, Doss JG, Danaee M, Kong LYL. Pre-schoolers' tooth brushing behaviour and association with their oral health: a cross sectional study. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21(1):283.

28. Macote-Orosco L, Martín-Vacas A, Paz-Cortés MM, Mourelle Martínez MR, de Nova MJ. The Relationship Between Manual Dexterity and Toothbrushing Efficiency in Preschool Children: A Crossover Study. Children (Basel). 2024;11(12).

29. Mazlan R, Tan AGC, Mohd Yusoff WNH, Md Yusoff NI, Latip MF, Kadir J, et al. Effectiveness of a culturally adapted online video module for enhancing parental hearing aid management skills in a quasi-experimental study. Scientific Reports. 2025;15(1):16987.

30. Latkin CA, Edwards C, Davey-Rothwell MA, Tobin KE. The relationship between social desirability bias and self-reports of health, substance use, and social network factors among urban substance users in Baltimore, Maryland. Addict Behav. 2017;73:133–6.

31. Tang J, Liu Y, Lin K-y, Li L. Process bottlenecks identification and its root cause analysis using fusion-based clustering and knowledge graph. Advanced Engineering Informatics. 2023;55:101862.
32. Chen J, Ying Y, Pang M, Chen J, Kang T, Xuan P, et al. The Application of Gamification in Children's Oral Health Management: Systematic Review. J Med Internet Res. 2025;27:e75541.
33. MacKay LJ, Chang U, Kreiter E, Nickel E, Kamke J, Bahia R, et al. Exploration of trust between pediatric nurses and children with a medical diagnosis and their caregivers on inpatient care units: A scoping review. Journal of Pediatric Nursing. 2024;78:e1–e30.
34. Kennedy D. Philosophical Dialogue with Children: Essays on Theory and Practice2018.
35. Park H, Ryu S, Jo E, Yoo S, Kim Y. A Study on Biofilm Removal Efficacy of Bioelectric Toothbrush2023.
36. Nguyen VN, Miller C, Sunderland J, McGuiness W. Understanding the Hawthorne effect in wound research-A scoping review. Int Wound J. 2018;15(6):1010–24.
37. Ropers FG, Rietveld S, Rings EHHM, Bossuyt PMM, van Bodegom- Vos L, Hillen MA. Diagnostic testing in children: A qualitative study of pediatricians' considerations. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice. 2023;29(8):1326–37.
38. Alden DL, Friend J, Lee PY, Lee YK, Trevena L, Ng CJ, et al. Who Decides: Me or We? Family Involvement in Medical Decision Making in Eastern and Western Countries. Med Decis Making. 2018;38(1):14–25.
39. Suokko H, Tolvanen M, Virtanen J, Suominen A, Karlsson L, Karlsson H, et al. Parent's self-reported tooth brushing and use of fluoridated toothpaste: Associations with their one-year-old child's preventive oral health behaviour. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2023;51(2):311–7.
40. Cyris M, Festerling J, Kahl M, Springer C, Dörfer CE, Graetz C. Guided biofilm therapy versus conventional protocol-clinical outcomes in non-surgical periodontal therapy. BMC Oral Health. 2024;24(1):1105.
41. Walker K, Jackson R. The health belief model and determinants of oral hygiene practices and beliefs in preteen children: a pilot study. Pediatr Dent. 2015;37(1):40–5.

42. Rösing CK, Garduño E, Bussadori SK, Zerón A, Soares PV, Saadia M, et al. Powered Toothbrushes: An Opportunity for Biofilm and Gingival Inflammation Control. Int J Dent. 2022;2022:6874144.

43. Ludovichetti FS, Rizzato A, Galante V, Tinazzo G, Magrin GL, Comitale E, et al. Effect of Manual, Electric, and Sonic Toothbrushing on Retention and Surface Integrity of Resin-Based Sealants. Int J Dent. 2025;2025:5740355.

44. Gómez-Redondo P, Valenzuela PL, Martínez-de-Quel Ó, Sánchez-Martín C, Cerezo-Arroyo M, Moreno-Manzanaro D, et al. The role of supervision and motivation during exercise on physical and mental health in older adults: a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial (PRO-Training project). BMC Geriatr. 2024;24(1):274.

45. Schweiger G. The Vulnerability and Injustices Faced by Young Carers in Developed Societies. Societies. 2025;15(4):101.

46. Hatzivarnava E, Karamessini M. Economic crisis and austerity, work life balance policy for working parents and parental behavior in Greece. Revista del Ministerio de Trabajo y Economía Social. 2018:79–106.

47. Flynn RM, Shaman NJ, Redleaf DL. The Unintended Consequences of “Lack of Supervision” Child Neglect Laws: How Developmental Science Can Inform Policies about Childhood Independence and Child Protection. Social Policy Report. 2023;36(1):1–38.

48. Correia A, Martins C, Santos Rd, Hidalgo V, Jesus SNd, Nunes C. Who Benefits Most from the Family Education and Support Program in Cape Verde? A Cluster Analysis. Children. 2024;11(7):782.

49. Dağdeviren F, Van der Weijden GAF, Zijlstra CPL, Slot DE. The Effectiveness of Power Versus Manual Toothbrushes on Plaque Removal and Gingival Health in Children-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Dent Hyg. 2025;23(4):682–702.

50. Park HM, Ryu S, Jo E, Yoo SK, Kim YW. A Study on the Biofilm Removal Efficacy of a Bioelectric Toothbrush. Bioengineering (Basel). 2023;10(10).

51. K A, Ravikumar R. Comparative Evaluation of Plaque Removal Efficiency of Electric, Manual, and Sonic Toothbrushes in Children Aged 6 To 12 Years: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Neonatal Surgery. 2025;14:86–93.

52. Van der Weijden GA, van Loveren C. Mechanical plaque removal in step-1 of care. Periodontology 2000.n/a(n/a).

53. Geiken A, Kock M, Banz L, Schwendicke F, Graetz C. Dental Practice Websites in Germany-How Do They Inform about Fluoridation? Dent J (Basel). 2024;12(3).

54. Yeh C-H, Wang Y-L, Vo TTT, Lee Y-C, Lee I-T. Fluoride in Dental Caries Prevention and Treatment: Mechanisms, Clinical Evidence, and Public Health Perspectives. Healthcare. 2025;13(17):2246.

55. Mira J, González M, Villalba C, Guerra L, Ramirez-Moya Y, Hernández J, et al. Improving Hand Hygiene Skills Using Virtual Reality: Quasi-Experimental Study. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2025;27.

56. Randall CL. Dissemination and implementation research for oral and craniofacial health: Background, a review of literature and future directions. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2023;51(1):119–32.

57. Jwa S, Imanishi Y, Ascher MT, Dudley MZ. Communication interventions to reduce parental vaccine hesitancy: A systematic review. Vaccine. 2025;61:127401.

58. Gerlich M. AI Tools in Society: Impacts on Cognitive Offloading and the Future of Critical Thinking. Societies. 2025;15(1):6.

59. Asghari S, Bent J, Modir A, MacDonald A, Farrell A, Bethune C, et al. Building a learning health care community in rural and remote areas: a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2024;24(1):1013.